## EST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake, Kolkata - 700 091.

## Present-

The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member(J)

Case No. - OA-330 of 2021

Satish Chandra Mahato **VERSUS** – The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order

Mrs. S. Agarwal,

Learned Advocate.

For the State Respondents

For the Applicant

Mr. S. Debroy,

03 24.03.2022

Departmental Representative.

For the Pr. A.G.W.B..

Mr. B. Mitra.

The matter is taken up by the Single Bench pursuant to the order contained in the Notification No. 118-WBAT/1E-08/2003 (Pt.-II) dated 11th February, 2022 issued in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 6 (5) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

On consent of the learned counsel for all the parties, matter has been taken up for hearing.

The counsel for the applicant has submitted that the applicant was inflicted in a criminal case, which was disposed of vide judgement dated 17.11.2015 and 18.11.2015 whereby he was convicted for a rigorous imprisonment of ten years with a fine of two thousand, in default, to suffer simple imprisonment of six months.

Being aggrieved with, he had preferred an appeal before the Hon'ble High Court being CRAN 737 of 2016 with CRA No. 766 of 2015 and the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 01.07.2016 had admitted the appeal and granted bail to the applicant. Therefore, the counsel for the applicant has prayed for admissible retiral benefit since he has retired in the meantime in the year 30.09.2017. The counsel for the applicant has submitted that the applicant was convicted by the lower court though bail has been granted but no final order has been passed. However, the respondents had already paid the G.P.F. amount to the petitioner. With regard to G.I.S., it is under process.

The counsel for the applicant has, however, prayed for leave to file a supplementary affidavit. Prayer is allowed. Let the matter be

## **ORDER SHEET**

Form No.

Satish Chandra Mahato

Vs.

Case No. OA-330 of 2021

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

listed for admission hearing on 14.06.2022. Reply/rejoinder, if any, in the meantime.

Since the circumstances beyond control, the Registry is unable to furnish plain copies of this order to the learned advocates for the parties, the Registry is directed to upload this order on the website of the Tribunal forthwith and parties are directed to act on the copies of the order downloaded from the website.

URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER (J)